



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

use ardent spirits in any other than his bona fide home, and he brings error. Affirmed.

*A. B. Hunt*, of Roanoke, for plaintiff in error.

*John R. Saunders, Atty. Gen.*, and *J. D. Hank, Jr., Asst. Atty. Gen.*, for the Commonwealth.

---

WILKINS *v.* HENDERSON.

June 13, 1918.

[96 S. E. 163.]

1. Trial (§ 252 (2)\*)—**Instruction—Applicability.**—In boundary dispute, instruction that if defendant, by virtue of a deed, entered the land and improved and cultivated a part and claimed title to the whole she was in possession and entitled to all of it, was erroneous, where the land was timber in no way improved or cultivated, and the only acts of ownership alleged were the occasional cutting of trees and raking of shatters.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 7 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 717, 718.]

2. Trial (§ 253 (3)\*)—**Instructions—Ignoring Issues.**—In boundary dispute, where defendant claimed by deed describing the land involved and also by adverse possession, instructions directing verdict for plaintiff if the claim of adverse possession were not established were erroneous, since if defendant claimed by a deed which described the land her possession of part of the land under the deed extended to all of it.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 7 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 717.]

3. New Trial (§ 71\*)—**Setting Aside Verdict—Conflicting Evidence.**—Where the evidence in a boundary dispute as to the location of the boundary is conflicting, the court is without power to set aside the verdict.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 10 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 459.]

(Additional Syllabus by Editor.)

4. Trial—**Instruction Directing Verdict—Completeness.**—It is error to give an instruction directing a finding for the plaintiff or the defendant on an incomplete or partial view of the evidence.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 7 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 715, 737.]

Error to Circuit Court, Northampton County.

Action by H. H. Wilkins against Bessie R. Henderson. Judgment for defendant, and plaintiff brings error. Reversed.

*Stanley Scott*, of Eastville, for plaintiff in error.

*John E. Nottingham, Jr.*, of Franktown, for defendant in error.

---

\*For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.